



Alpine A390
Standard Safety Equipment

2025



Adult Occupant



74%

Child Occupant



85%

Vulnerable Road Users



80%

Safety Assist



77%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Alpine A390 GT 400ch, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2025
Kerb Weight	2163kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Alpine A390s
Class	Small Family Car

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	—
Belt pretensioner	●	●	●
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	●
Knee airbag	✗	✗	—
LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✗
Side pelvis airbag	●	●	✗
Centre Airbag	○	✗	—

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	—	✗	●
Integrated CRS	—	✗	✗
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
Child presence detection	—	✗	✗
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

OTHER SYSTEMS		
Active Bonnet		✖
AEB Vulnerable Road Users		●
AEB Pedestrian - Reverse		●
Cyclist Dooring Prevention		●
AEB Motorcyclist		●
AEB Car-to-Car		●
Speed Assistance		●
Lane Assist System		●
Fatigue / Distraction Detection		●

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

- Fitted to the vehicle as standard
- Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
- Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack
- ✖ Not available
- Not applicable

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 29.9 Pts / 74%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Frontal Impact

12.5 / 16 Pts



Mobile Progressive Deformable Barrier



Full Width Rigid Barrier

Lateral Impact

10.7 / 16 Pts



Side Mobile Barrier



Side Pole



Far-Side Excursion



Occupant Interaction

Rear Impact

3.7 / 4 Pts



Rear Seat



Front Seat

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 29.9 Pts / 74%

GOOD

ADEQUATE

MARGINAL

WEAK

POOR

Rescue and Extrication		3.0 / 4 Pts
Rescue Sheet	Available, ISO compliant	
Advanced eCall	Available	
Multi Collision Brake	Available	
Submergence Check	Compliant	

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Alpine A390 remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both front seat occupants. Alpine demonstrated that a similar level of protection would be provided to the knees and femurs of occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Protection of the driver's chest was also rated as marginal, based on dummy readings of compression. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the Alpine A390 would be a moderately benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection was good or adequate for all critical body regions of the driver rear seat passenger. In the side barrier test, the Alpine A390 provided good protection to all critical body areas. However, the door of the car opened in the impact, which led to a penalty being applied to the test score. In the more severe side pole impact, protection was good for all body areas except the chest, where it was marginal. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be marginal. The tested variant of the Alpine A390 does not have a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts but it is available on other variants. The door-opening in the side barrier test precluded the A390 from scoring points for far-side protection. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. Alpine demonstrated that the doors and windows would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.

 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.7 Pts / 85%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children 23.7 / 24 Pts

Frontal Impact15.7 Pts



Lateral Impact8 Pts



Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer Kidfix i-Size*
Restraint for 10 year old child: *Graco Booster Basic R129*

Safety Features 6.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	✗	●	✗
i-Size	✗	●	✗
Integrated CRS	✗	✗	✗
Top tether	✗	●	✗
Child Presence Detection	✗	✗	✗

● Fitted to test car as standard ○ Not on test car but available as option ✗ Not available

CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts

 i-Size	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
			Left	center	Right
	—	—	●	—	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✗ Not allowed
 Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed  Airbag OFF

 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.7 Pts / 85%

 Isofix	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
			Left	center	Right
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✗ Not allowed

 Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed  Airbag OFF

Seatbelt Attached	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
			Left	center	Right
	✗	●	●	●	●
	●	✗	●	●	●
	●	✗	●	●	●
	●	✗	●	●	●
	●	✗	●	✗	●
	✗	●	●	✗	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✗ Not allowed

 Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed  Airbag OFF

 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.7 Pts / 85%

Comments

In the frontal offset test, protection of the 6 year dummy was good for all critical body areas and good or adequate for the 10 year dummy. In the side barrier impact, protection of all critical parts of the body was good for both the 6 and 10 year dummies. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The Alpine A390 is not equipped with 'child presence detection', a system which can alert others if children have been left in the car. All of the child restraint types for which the Alpine A390 is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.

 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 50.6 Pts / 80%

GOOD

ADEQUATE

MARGINAL

WEAK

POOR

VRU Impact Protection28.3 / 36 Pts



Pedestrian & Cyclist Head	11.7 Pts
Pelvis	4.1 Pts
Femur	3.5 Pts
Knee & Tibia	9.0 Pts

VRU Impact Mitigation22.3 / 27 Pts

System Name	Active Emergency Braking System
Type	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	8 km/h
PERFORMANCE	

AEB Pedestrian6.3 / 9 Pts

Scenario	Day time	Night time
Car reversing into adult or child		
Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning		
Adult crossing the road		
Child running from behind parked vehicles		
Adult along the roadside		

Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist7.5 / 8 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles	
Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist	
Approaching a crossing cyclist	
Approaching a cyclist along the roadside	

 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 50.6 Pts / 80%

GOOD

ADEQUATE

MARGINAL

WEAK

POOR

Cyclist Dooring Prevention 0.0 / 1 Pts

Scenario	
Dooring a passing cyclist	

AEB Motorcyclist 6.0 / 6 Pts

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a stationary motorcyclist		
Approaching a braking motorcyclist		
Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist		—

— Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist 2.5 / 3 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist	
Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist	

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was largely good or adequate, with poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars and at the base of the screen. Protection of the pelvis was mostly good. Protection of the femur was mixed, while that of the knee and tibia was good at all test locations. The autonomous emergency braking system of the Alpine A390 responds to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists, as well as to other vehicles. In tests of its response to pedestrians, the system performed adequately. Protection for those to the rear of the car is available but is not switched on by default, so scored no points in this assessment. The system performed well in tests of its reaction to cyclists. Like rear protection of pedestrians, protection against 'dooring', where a door is opened into the path of a cyclist approaching from behind, is not 'default-on' so was ineligible for points. The system's response to motorcyclists was good.

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 14.0 Pts / 77%

GOOD

ADEQUATE

MARGINAL

WEAK

POOR

Speed Assistance 2.3 / 3 Pts

System Name	Traffic Sign Recognition
Speed Limit Information Function	Camera & Map, subsigns supported
Speed Limitation Function	Intelligent ACC (accurate to 5km/h)

Occupant Status Monitoring 1.5 / 3 Pts

> Seatbelt Reminder

 1.0 / 1 Pts

Applies To	Front and rear seats		
Warning	Driver Seat	Front Passenger(s)	Rear Passenger(s)
Visual			
Audible			
Occupant Detection			

Pass Fail Not available

> Driver Monitoring

 0.5 / 2 Pts

System Name	Driver Monitoring System
Type	Direct eye monitoring
Operational From	10 km/h
Fatigue	Drowsiness, Microsleep and Sleep
Distraction	Long Distraction

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 14.0 Pts / 77%

Lane Support 3.0 / 3 Pts

System Name	Lane Keep Assist
Type	LKA and ELK
Operational From	65 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	 GOOD
Lane Keep Assist	 GOOD
Human Machine Interface	 GOOD

AEB Car-to-Car 7.2 / 9 Pts

System Name	Active Emergency Braking System
Type	Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning
Operational From	7 km/h
Sensor Used	camera and radar

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a car crossing a junction		
Approaching a car head-on		
Turning across the path of an oncoming car		
Approaching a stationary car		
Approaching a slower moving car		
Approaching a braking car		

 Currently not tested

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 14.0 Pts / 77%

Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was good in tests of its reaction to other vehicles. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has a direct driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue and some types of distraction. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.

RATING VALIDITY



Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine & Transmission	Model Name	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
5 door hatchback	3 electric motors 400ch	Alpine A390 GT *	4 x 4	✓	✓
5 door hatchback	3 electric motors 470ch	Alpine A390 GTS	4 x 4	✓	✓

* Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
December 2025	Rating Published	2025 ★★☆☆☆	✓