



## Mazda 6e Standard Safety Equipment

2025





## Adult Occupant



93%



Child Occupant



93%

Vulnerable Road Users



74%



Safety Assist

77%

## **SPECIFICATION**

Tested Model	Mazda 6e 68.8 kWh, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2025
Kerb Weight	1962kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Mazda 6e
Class	Large Family Car



## SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•		_
Belt pretensioner	•	•	•
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	×	×	_
LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•		•
Side chest airbag	•	•	•
Side pelvis airbag	•	•	•
Centre Airbag	•	×	_

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	_	•	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	•	_
Child presence detection	_	•	•
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	•



## SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet	×
AEB Vulnerable Road Users	•
AEB Pedestrian - Reverse	
Cyclist Dooring Prevention	•
AEB Motorcyclist	•
AEB Car-to-Car	•
Speed Assistance	
Lane Assist System	
Fatigue / Distraction Detection	

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.


Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
I leted to the vehicle of storidard	There is the vernere as part of the solvery poek


O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable






Total 37.4 Pts / 93%









# 房 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 37.4 Pts / 93%


GOOD ADEQUATE	MARGINAL WEAK POOR
Rescue and Extrication	3.0 / 4 Pts
Rescue Sheet	Available, ISO compliant
Advanced eCall	Available
Multi Collision Brake	Available
Submergence Check	Compliant

#### Comments

The passenger compartment of the Mazda 6e remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both the driver and the front seat passenger. Mazda showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Protection of all critical body areas was good for the front passenger. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the Mazda 6e would be a benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection was good or adequate for all critical body regions of the driver rear seat passenger. In the side barrier test, the Mazda 6e provided good protection to all critical body areas and scored maximum points. In the more severe side pole impact, protection was at least adequate for all critical body areas. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be adequate. The Mazda 6e has a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts. The airbag performed well in Euro NCAP's tests with dummy readings indicating good protection for both the driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. Mazda demonstrated that the doors and windows would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.



Total 46.0 Pts / 93%



Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

24.0 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: Britax Römer Kidfix i-Size Restraint for 10 year old child: Graco Junior isofix R129

Safety Features 10.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	•	•	×
i-Size	•	•	×
Integrated CRS	×	×	×
Top tether	•	•	×
Child Presence Detection	•	•	•

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

X Not available

**CRS Installation Check** 12.0 / 12 Pts

🕒 i-Size	Seat Position				
	Fro	ont		2nd row	
		<b>⊗</b> *⁄ <sub>2</sub>	Left	center	Right
٤	×	•	•	_	•

Difficult

Safety critical



Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

Airbag OFF



# CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 46.0 Pts / 93%

Isofix	Seat Position				
	Fro	ont		2nd row	
		<b>⊗</b> *⁄ <sub>2</sub>	Left	center	Right
	×	•	•	_	•
A	×	•	•	_	•
K	×	•	•	_	•
Ľ	×	•	•	_	•
E	×	•	•	_	•
	×	•	•	_	•

Easy

Difficult Safety critical × Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

⊗∴ Airbag OFF

Seatbelt Attached	Seat Position				
	Fro	Front		2nd row	
		⊗• <u>*</u> 2	Left	center	Right
	×	•	•	•	•
	×	•	•	•	•
<b>B</b>	×	•	•	•	•
<b>L</b>	×	•	•	•	•
	×	•	•	×	•
	×	•	•	×	•

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

× Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

🎇 Airbag OFF





Total 46.0 Pts / 93%

#### Comments

In both the frontal offset and the side barrier tests, protection of all critical body areas was good for the 6 and 10 year dummies, and the Mazda 6e scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The Mazda 6e is equipped with a direct 'child presence detection' system, which issues a warning when it detects that a child or infant has been left in the car. Belted booster cushions should not be used in the front passenger seat and the rear centre seat, and the passenger seat cannot accommodate R3-sized child restraints but, otherwise, all of the child restraint types for which the Mazda 6e is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.



# 🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 47.1 Pts / 74%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	

**VRU** Impact Protection

24.1 / 36 Pts



Pedestrian & Cyclist Head	10.3 Pts
Pelvis	1.9 Pts
Femur	3.9 Pts
Knee & Tibia	8.0 Pts

VRU Impact Mitigation

23.1 / 27 Pts

System Name	Smart Brake Support(SBS) & Forward Obstruction Warning (FOW)
Туре	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	4 km/h
PERFORMANCE	

**AEB** Pedestrian

6.4 / 9 Pts

Scenario	Day time	Night time
Car reversing into adult or child		_
Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning		_
Adult crossing the road		
Child running from behind parked vehicles		
Adult along the roadside		

Currently not tested

**AEB** Cyclist

6.9 / 8 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles	
Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist	
Approaching a crossing cyclist	
Approaching a cyclist along the roadside	



# 🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 47.1 Pts / 74%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR
Cyclist Dooring Preve	ention			0.8 / 1 Pts

Scenario	
Dooring a passing cyclist	warning, all side doors"

### AEB Motorcyclist 6.0 / 6 Pts

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a stationary motorcyclist		
Approaching a braking motorcyclist		
Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist		_

#### Currently not tested


#### Lane Support Motorcyclist

3.0 / 3 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist	
Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist	

#### Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was largely good or adequate, with poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars and at the base of the screen. Protection of the pelvis was mostly poor while that of the femur and that of the knee and tibia was predominantly good or adequate. The autonomous emergency braking system of the Mazda 6e responds to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists, as well as to other vehicles. In tests of its response to pedestrians, the system performed adequately, with some protection offered to those to the rear of the car. The system performed well in tests of its reaction to cyclists, including 'dooring', where a door is opened into the path of a cyclist approaching from behind. The system's response to motorcyclists was good.



Distraction

Long Distraction



Total 14.0 Pts / 77%

## Lane Support 2.5 / 3 Pts

System Name	Lane keeping assist system & Emergency lane keeping assist system
Туре	LKA and ELK
Operational From	40 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	GOOD
Lane Keep Assist	MARGINAL
Human Machine Interface	GOOD

AEB Car-to-Car 7.0 / 9 Pts

System Name	Smart Brake Support(SBS) & Forward Obstruction Warning (FOW)
Туре	Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning
Operational From	4 km/h
Sensor Used	camera and radar

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a car crossing a junction		
Approaching a car head-on		_
Turning across the path of an oncoming car		_
Approaching a stationary car		
Approaching a slower moving car		_
Approaching a braking car		_

#### Currently not tested





Total 14.0 Pts / 77%

#### Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was good in tests of its reaction to other vehicles. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has a direct driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue and some types of distraction. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.



## **RATING VALIDITY**

### Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Model Name	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
5 door hatchback	Electric	68.6 kWh *	4 x 2	$\checkmark$	-
5 door hatchback	Electric	80.0 kWh	4 x 2	$\checkmark$	-

#### Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
October 2025	Rating Published	2025 ★ ★ ★ ★	✓

<sup>\*</sup> Tested variant