



IM IM6
Standard Safety Equipment

2025



Adult Occupant



90%

Child Occupant



85%

Vulnerable Road Users



83%

Safety Assist



87%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	IM IM6 'COM', LHD
Body Type	- 5 door SUV
Year Of Publication	2025
Kerb Weight	2310kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all IM IM6
Class	Large SUV

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	—
Belt pretensioner	●	●	●
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	●
Knee airbag	✗	✗	—
LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✗
Side pelvis airbag	●	●	✗
Centre Airbag	●	✗	—

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	—	✗	●
Integrated CRS	—	✗	✗
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
Child presence detection	—	✗	✗
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

OTHER SYSTEMS		
Active Bonnet		✖
AEB Vulnerable Road Users		●
AEB Pedestrian - Reverse		●
Cyclist Dooring Prevention		●
AEB Motorcyclist		●
AEB Car-to-Car		●
Speed Assistance		●
Lane Assist System		●
Fatigue / Distraction Detection		●

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

- Fitted to the vehicle as standard
- Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
- Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack
- ✖ Not available
- Not applicable

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 36.1 Pts / 90%

	GOOD		ADEQUATE		MARGINAL		WEAK		POOR
---	------	---	----------	---	----------	---	------	---	------

Frontal Impact15.2 / 16 Pts



Mobile Progressive Deformable BarrierFull Width Rigid Barrier

Lateral Impact14.8 / 16 Pts



Side Mobile BarrierSide PoleFar-Side ExcursionOccupant Interaction

Rear Impact3.9 / 4 Pts



Rear SeatFront Seat

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 36.1 Pts / 90%

GOOD

ADEQUATE

MARGINAL

WEAK

POOR

Rescue and Extrication		2.2 / 4 Pts
Rescue Sheet	Available, ISO compliant	
Advanced eCall	Available	
Multi Collision Brake	Available	
Submergence Check	Partially Compliant	

Comments

The passenger compartment of the IM IM6 remained stable in the frontal offset test. Protection was good for all critical body regions of the driver and front passenger, and the car scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. IM showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to the legs of occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the IM IM6 would be a benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection was good or adequate for all critical body regions of the driver rear seat passenger. In the side barrier test, the IM IM6 provided good protection to all critical body areas and scored maximum points. In the more severe side pole impact, protection of the chest was rated as marginal, based on dummy readings of compression. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be marginal The IM IM6 has a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts. The airbag performed well in Euro NCAP's tests with dummy readings indicating good protection for both the driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. IM demonstrated that the doors would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.

 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 42.0 Pts / 85%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children 24.0 / 24 Pts

Frontal Impact16 Pts



Lateral Impact8 Pts



Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer Kidfix i-Size*
Restraint for 10 year old child: *Graco Booster Basic R129*

Safety Features 6.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	✗	●	✗
i-Size	✗	●	✗
Integrated CRS	✗	✗	✗
Top tether	✗	●	✗
Child Presence Detection	✗	✗	✗

● Fitted to test car as standard ○ Not on test car but available as option ✗ Not available

CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts

 i-Size	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
			Left	center	Right
	—	—	●	—	●

● Easy ○ Difficult ● Safety critical ✗ Not allowed
 Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed  Airbag OFF

 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 42.0 Pts / 85%

 Isofix	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
			Left	center	Right
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●
	—	—	●	—	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✗ Not allowed

 Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed  Airbag OFF

Seatbelt Attached	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
			Left	center	Right
	✗	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	●	●
	✗	●	●	●	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✗ Not allowed

 Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed  Airbag OFF



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 42.0 Pts / 85%

Comments

In both the frontal offset and the side barrier tests, good protection was provided to all critical parts of the body, both for the 6 and 10 year dummies, and the car scored maximum points. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The IM IM6 is not equipped with 'child presence detection', a system which can alert others if children have been left in the car. All of the child restraint types for which the IM IM6 is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.

 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 52.7 Pts / 83%

GOOD

ADEQUATE

MARGINAL

WEAK

POOR

VRU Impact Protection27.0 / 36 Pts



Pedestrian & Cyclist Head	9.5 Pts
Pelvis	4.2 Pts
Femur	4.3 Pts
Knee & Tibia	9.0 Pts

VRU Impact Mitigation25.7 / 27 Pts

System Name	VRU Active Safety
Type	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	8 km/h
PERFORMANCE	

AEB Pedestrian7.9 / 9 Pts

Scenario	Day time	Night time
Car reversing into adult or child		—
Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning		—
Adult crossing the road		
Child running from behind parked vehicles		
Adult along the roadside		

— Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist8.0 / 8 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles	
Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist	
Approaching a crossing cyclist	
Approaching a cyclist along the roadside	



VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 52.7 Pts / 83%

 GOOD

 ADEQUATE

 MARGINAL

 WEAK

 POOR

Cyclist Dooring Prevention

 0.8 / 1 Pts

Scenario	
Dooring a passing cyclist	warning, all side doors"

AEB Motorcyclist

 6.0 / 6 Pts

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a stationary motorcyclist		
Approaching a braking motorcyclist		
Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist		—

— Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist

 3.0 / 3 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist	
Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist	

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was largely adequate, with poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars and at the base and top of the screen. Protection of the pelvis was mostly good. Protection of the femur was also largely good, while that of the knee and tibia was good at all test locations. The autonomous emergency braking system of the IM IM6 responds to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists, as well as to other vehicles. In tests of its response to pedestrians, the system performed well, including protection for those to the rear of the car. The system performed well in tests of its reaction to cyclists, while its response to motorcyclists was also good.

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 15.7 Pts / 87%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Speed Assistance 2.2 / 3 Pts

Speed Limit Information Function	Camera & Map, subsigns supported
Speed Limitation Function	Intelligent ACC (accurate to 5km/h)

Occupant Status Monitoring 2.7 / 3 Pts

> Seatbelt Reminder 1.0 / 1 Pts

Applies To	Front and rear seats		
Warning	Driver Seat	Front Passenger(s)	Rear Passenger(s)
Visual	●	●	●
Audible	●	●	●
Occupant Detection	—	●	●

● Pass ● Fail — Not available

> Driver Monitoring 1.7 / 2 Pts

System Name	Driver Monitoring System
Type	Direct eye monitoring
Operational From	10 km/h
Fatigue	Drowsiness, Microsleep and Sleep
Distraction	Long & Short Distraction and Phone Use

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 15.7 Pts / 87%

Lane Support 2.5 / 3 Pts

System Name	LSS
Type	LKA and ELK
Operational From	50 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	 GOOD
Lane Keep Assist	 GOOD
Human Machine Interface	 GOOD

AEB Car-to-Car 8.3 / 9 Pts

System Name	AEB Car to Car System
Type	Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning
Operational From	8 km/h
Sensor Used	camera and radar

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a car crossing a junction		
Approaching a car head-on		—
Turning across the path of an oncoming car		—
Approaching a stationary car		
Approaching a slower moving car		—
Approaching a braking car		—

— Currently not tested

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 15.7 Pts / 87%

Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was good in tests of its reaction to other vehicles. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has a direct driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue and some types of distraction. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.

RATING VALIDITY



Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Model Name	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
5 door SUV	Electric 75kWh	Standard Range *	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door SUV	Electric 100kWh	Long Range	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door SUV	Electric 100kWh	Performance	4 x 4	✓	✓

* Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
September 2025	Rating Published	2025 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★	✓