



Deepal S07 Standard Safety Equipment

2024





Adult Occupant







Child Occupant

87%

Vulnerable Road Users







Safety Assist

77%

SPECIFICATION

| Tested Model | Deepal S07, Base level, LHD |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Body Type | - 5 door SUV |
| Year Of Publication | 2024 |
| Kerb Weight | 2073kg |
| VIN From Which Rating Applies | - all Deepal S07s |
| Class | Small SUV |



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

| | Driver | Passenger | Rear |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|------|
| FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION | | | |
| Frontal airbag | • | • | _ |
| Belt pretensioner | • | • | • |
| Belt loadlimiter | • | • | • |
| Knee airbag | × | × | _ |
| LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION | | | |
| Side head airbag | • | | • |
| Side chest airbag | • | • | × |
| Side pelvis airbag | • | • | × |
| Centre Airbag | • | × | _ |

| | Driver | Passenger | Rear |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|------|
| CHILD PROTECTION | | | |
| Isofix/i-Size | _ | • | • |
| Integrated CRS | _ | × | × |
| Airbag cut-off switch | _ | • | _ |
| Child presence detection | _ | • | • |
| SAFETY ASSIST | | | |
| Seat Belt Reminder | • | • | • |



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

| OTHER SYSTEMS | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Active Bonnet | × |
| AEB Vulnerable Road Users | |
| AEB Pedestrian - Reverse | |
| Cyclist Dooring Prevention | • |
| AEB Motorcyclist | |
| AEB Car-to-Car | |
| Speed Assistance | |
| Lane Assist System | |
| Fatigue / Distraction Detection | |

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.


| Fitted to the vehicle as standard | Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack |
|------------------------------------|---|
| i itted to the vehicle as standard | Tricted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack |


O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable






Total 38.0 Pts / 95%









房 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 38.0 Pts / 95%

| GOOD ADEQUATE | MARGINAL WEAK POOR |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rescue and Extrication | 3.0 / 4 Pts |
| Rescue Sheet | Available, ISO compliant |
| Advanced eCall | Available |
| Multi Collision Brake | Available |
| Submergence Check | Compliant |

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Deepal S07 remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both the front seat occupants. Deepal demonstrated that a similar level of protection would also be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the Deepal S07 would be a benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of all critical body areas was good or adequate, both for the driver and rear passenger. In both the side barrier test and the more severe side pole impact, protection of all critical body regions was good, and the S07 scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be marginal. The Deepal S07 has a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts. The airbag performed well in Euro NCAP's tests with dummy readings indicating good protection for both the driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. Deepal demonstrated that the doors and windows would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.



Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

24.0 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: Britax Römer KidFix i-Size Restraint for 10 year old child: Graco Booster

Safety Features 7.0 / 13 Pts

| | Front Passenger | 2nd row outboard | 2nd row center |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Isofix | • | • | × |
| i-Size | • | • | × |
| Integrated CRS | × | × | × |
| Top tether | • | • | • |
| Child Presence Detection | • | • | • |

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

X Not available

CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts

| 🐚 i-Size | Seat Position | | | | |
|----------|---------------|--------------------------|------|--------|-------|
| | Fro | Front 2nd row | | | |
| | | ⊗ *⁄ ₂ | Left | center | Right |
| ٤ | • | • | • | _ | • |

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

★ Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

🎇 Airbag OFF



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 43.0 Pts / 87%

| (Isofix | Seat Position | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|------|---------|-------|
| | Fro | ont | | 2nd row | |
| | | ⊗ *⁄ ₂ | Left | center | Right |
| E | • | • | • | _ | • |
| A | × | • | • | _ | • |
| K | • | • | • | _ | • |
| Ľ | • | • | • | _ | • |
| | • | • | • | _ | • |
| | × | • | • | _ | • |

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

Airbag OFF

| Seatbelt Attached | Seat Position | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|--------|-------|--|
| | Fre | ont | 2nd row | | | |
| | | ⊗•,∕ ~ √2 | Left | center | Right | |
| | × | • | • | • | • | |
| | • | • | • | • | • | |
| E | • | • | • | • | • | |
| E | • | • | • | • | • | |
| | • | • | • | • | • | |
| | × | • | • | × | • | |

■ Easy
Difficult
Safety critical
X Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

🎇 Airbag OFF





Total 43.0 Pts / 87%

Comments

In both the frontal offset test and the more severe side pole impact, protection of all critical parts of the body was good for the 6 and 10 year dummy, and the Deepal S07 scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag, and the system was rewarded. The S07 is equipped with a direct 'child presence detection' system, which issues a warning when it detects that a child or infant has been left in the car. However, the system did not meet Euro NCAP's requirements and was not rewarded. All of the child restraint types for which the Deepal S07 is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 47.2 Pts / 74%

| GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR | |
|------|----------|----------|------|------|--|

VRU Impact Protection

25.2 / 36 Pts



| Pedestrian & Cyclist Head | 9.7 Pts |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Pelvis | 3.1 Pts |
| Femur | 4.5 Pts |
| Knee & Tibia | 7.9 Pts |

VRU Impact Mitigation

21.9 / 27 Pts

| System Name | AEB VRU |
|------------------|---|
| Туре | Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning |
| Operational From | 3 km/h |
| PERFORMANCE | |

AEB Pedestrian

6.7 / 9 Pts

| Scenario | Day time | Night time |
|---|----------|------------|
| Car reversing into adult or child | | _ |
| Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning | | _ |
| Adult crossing the road | | |
| Child running from behind parked vehicles | | |
| Adult along the roadside | | |

Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist 7.2 / 8 Pts

| Scenario Scenario | Day time |
|--|----------|
| Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles | |
| Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist | |
| Approaching a crossing cyclist | |
| Approaching a cyclist along the roadside | |



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 47.2 Pts / 74%

| GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR |
|----------------------|----------|----------|------|-------------|
| Cyclist Dooring Prev | vention | | | 0.0 / 1 Pts |

| Scenario | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Dooring a passing cyclist | , driver door only" |

AEB Motorcyclist 6.0 / 6 Pts

| Scenario | Autobrake function only | Driver reacts to warning |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Approaching a stationary motorcyclist | | |
| Approaching a braking motorcyclist | | |
| Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist | | _ |

Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist 2.0 / 3 Pts

| Scenario | Day time |
|---|----------|
| Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist | |
| Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist | |

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was predominantly adequate, with a some poor results recorded at the base of the windscreen and on the stiff windscreen pillars. Protection of the pelvis was good at all test locations. Protection of the pelvis was mostly mixed, while that of the femur was good at all test locations. The autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system of the Deepal can respond to vulnerable road users as well as to other vehicles. The system's response both to pedestrians was adequate, while its reaction to cyclists was good. However, the car does not offer protection against 'dooring', where a door is suddenly opened in the path of a cyclist approaching from behind. Performance of the AEB system was good in tests of its response to motorcyclists.

Distraction

Long and Short Distraction



Total 13.9 Pts / 77%

| Lane Support | 2.5 / 3 Pts |
|--------------|-------------|
|--------------|-------------|

| System Name | Lane Support System |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Туре | LKA and ELK |
| Operational From | 60 km/h |
| PERFORMANCE | |
| Emergency Lane Keeping | GOOD |
| Lane Keep Assist | GOOD |
| Human Machine Interface | GOOD |

AEB Car-to-Car 6.8 / 9 Pts

| System Name | AEB Car to Car |
|------------------|--|
| Туре | Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning |
| Operational From | 4 km/h |
| Sensor Used | camera and radar |

| Scenario | Autobrake function only | Driver reacts to warning |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Approaching a car crossing a junction | | |
| Approaching a car head-on | | _ |
| Turning across the path of an oncoming car | | _ |
| Approaching a stationary car | | |
| Approaching a slower moving car | | _ |
| Approaching a braking car | | _ |

Currently not tested





Total 13.9 Pts / 77%

Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was good in tests of its reaction to other vehicles, with impacts being avoided in most tests. System performance was marginal in crossing scenarios. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has a direct driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue and several types of distraction. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.



RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

| Body Type | Engine | Model Name/Code | Drivetrain | Rating Applies | |
|------------|----------|-----------------|------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | | LHD | RHD |
| 5 door SUV | Electric | Deepal S07 * | 4 x 2 | ✓ | ✓ |

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

| Date | Event | Outcome | |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|---|
| December 2024 | Rating Published | 2024 ★ ★ ★ ★ | ✓ |

^{*} Tested variant