

# Ford Mondeo

Ford Mondeo 2.0 diesel 'Trend/Wagon', LHD

2014 ★★★★★



## DETAILS OF TESTED CAR

### SPECIFICATIONS

Tested model	Ford Mondeo 2.0 diesel 'Trend/Wagon', LHD
Body type	5 door wagon
Year of publication	2014
Kerb weight	1634kg
VIN from which rating applies	applies to all Mondeos of the specification tested

### SAFETY EQUIPMENT

Frontal airbags	Driver (Single Stage), Passenger (Single Stage)
Pre-tensioners	Driver (single), Passenger (single)
Load-limiters	Driver, Passenger
Knee airbags	Driver
Side airbags	Head (front and rear), Thorax (front only)
Front head restraints	Passive
Passenger airbag switch	Manual switch
iSize Seats	2nd row (outboard)
Integrated child restraint	None
Active Pedestrian Protection	None,
Seatbelt Reminder	Driver, Passenger, Rear
Electronic Stability Control	ESP, Standard, Always On
Speed Assistance Systems	Driver-set speed limitation, Optional (meeting fitment requirements)
Lane Support	Lane Keeping Assistance, Optional (meeting fitment requirements)
Autonomous Braking	'Pre-Collision Assist with Pedestrian Detection', city, inter-urban and pedestrian functionality, Optional (not meeting fitment requirements)
Other	Inflatable seatbelts (optional)

Safety equipment is standard across the model range unless stated otherwise

**ADULT OCCUPANT**

Total 33 pts | 86%

**CRASH TEST PERFORMANCE**

**FRONT OFFSET**

15,6 pts



Driver



Passenger

**SIDE CAR**

7,7 pts

**SIDE POLE**

7,2 pts



Side car



Side pole

**WHIPLASH PROTECTION**

**FRONT, REAR SEATS**

2,4 pts



Front seats



Rear seats

**FRONT OFFSET**

**HEAD**

Driver airbag contact	stable
Passenger airbag contact	stable

**CHEST**

Passenger compartment	stable
Windscreen Pillar rearward	5mm
Steering wheel rearward	none
Steering wheel upward	none
Chest contact with steering wheel	none

**UPPER LEGS, KNEES AND PELVIS**

Stiff structures in dashboard	none
Concentrated loads on knees	none

**LOWER LEGS AND FEET**

Footwell Collapse	none
Rearward pedal movement	Brake - 27.6mm
Upward pedal movement	Brake - 10.2mm

**SIDE**

Head protection airbag	Yes
Chest protection airbag	Yes

**HEAD RESTRAINT**

Seat description	Standard cloth, 4 way manual
Head restraint type	Passive
Front geometric assessment	2 pts

**TESTS**

- High severity	2,2 pts
- Medium severity	2,2 pts
- Low severity	2,4 pts

**AEB CITY**

**0 pts**

System name	Not applicable
Fitment	Optional (not meeting fitment requirements)



# TEST RESULTS

## CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 40 pts | 82%

### CRASH TEST PERFORMANCE

#### 18 MONTH OLD CHILD

**Restraint** Römer BabySafe + ISOFIX Base  
**Facing** rearward facing  
**Installation** ISOFIX and Supportleg



PERFORMANCE **12 pts**

#### FRONTAL IMPACT

**Head forward movement** protected  
**Head acceleration** good  
**Chest load** good

#### SIDE IMPACT

**Head containment** protected  
**Head acceleration** good

#### 3 YEAR OLD CHILD

**Restraint** Römer Duo Plus  
**Facing** rearward facing  
**Installation** ISOFIX and TopTether



PERFORMANCE **11,8 pts**

#### FRONTAL IMPACT

**Head forward movement** protected  
**Head acceleration** good  
**Chest load** good

#### SIDE IMPACT

**Head containment** protected  
**Head acceleration** good

### CHILD SAFETY FEATURES AND CRS INSTALLATION CHECK



**Safety features score** **5 pts**  
**Installation check score** **11,7 pts**

**Pass** Install without problem  
**Partial Fail** Install with care  
**Fail** Safety critical problem  
**Exempt** Installation not allowed

	SEAT POSITION							
	FRONT		2nd ROW			3rd ROW		
	CENTER	RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Seatbelt)	N/A	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Römer King Plus (Seatbelt)	N/A	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Römer KidFix (Seatbelt)	N/A	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix and EasyFix (Seatbelt)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix and EasyFix (ISOFIX)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
BeSafe iZi Kid X3 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maxi Cosi Pearl and Familyfix (ISOFIX)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Römer KidFix (ISOFIX)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Römer BabySafe + ISOFIX Base (ISOFIX)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	N/A	Exempt	Pass	Exempt	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A

**SAFETY ASSIST**

Total 9 pts | 66%

**SPEED ASSISTANCE SYSTEM 1,7 pts**

Optional (meeting fitment requirements)	
Speed Information	PASS
Speed Assistance (Manual)	Pass

**ELECTRONIC STABILITY CONTROL (ESC) 3 pts**

- ESP	Meets requirements
-------	--------------------

**SEATBELT REMINDER 3 pts**

- driver and passenger	Pass
- rear	Pass

**LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS 1 pts**

Optional (meeting fitment requirements)	
Lane Keeping Assistance	Meets requirements

## PEDESTRIAN

Total 24 pts | 66%



HEAD	17,9 pts
PELVIS	0 pts
LEG	6 pts



## COMMENTS

**Adult occupant**

The passenger compartment remained stable in the frontal impact. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. Ford showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different statures and to those sat in different positions, whose knees might contact the dashboard in different places. In the side barrier test, protection of all critical body regions was rated as good except for the chest, protection of which was adequate. In the more severe side pole test, protection of the chest was marginal. Tests on the front seats and head restraints, and a geometric assessment of the rear seats, indicated good protection against whiplash injuries for all seating positions. An autonomous emergency braking system is available as an option, and works at low speeds typical of city driving. As it is not standard equipment, it was not included in this assessment.

**Child occupant**

Based on dummy readings in the dynamic crash tests, the Mondeo scored maximum points for its protection of the 1½ year dummy, sat in a rearward-facing restraint. In the frontal impact, forward movement of the head of the 3 year dummy, sat in a forward-facing restraint, was not excessive but marginally elevated neck forces lost the car a fraction of a point. In the side impact test, both dummies were properly contained within the protective shells of their restraints, minimising the likelihood of dangerous head contact with the vehicle interior. The front passenger airbag can be deactivated to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the car is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car except for the universal group II/III restraint which could not be stably installed in the rear centre seating position. The Mondeo lost some points because the universal group 0+/1 seats should not be used in the rear outboard seats if optional seatbelt airbags are fitted.

**Pedestrian**

The bumper scored maximum points for its protection of pedestrians' legs, and scored maximum points. However, the front edge of the bonnet scored no points, providing poor protection to the pelvis region. Tests on the bonnet surface revealed predominantly good or adequate levels of protection to the head of a struck pedestrian, with poor results recorded only on the stiff windscreen pillars. Ford's autonomous emergency braking system is available as an option and can detect pedestrians as well as other vehicles, helping to avoid or to mitigate injuries to pedestrians and other vulnerable road users. As the system is not standard equipment, it was not included in the assessment.

**Safety assist**

Electronic stability control is standard equipment on the Mondeo, together with a seatbelt reminder for the front and rear seats. A combined lane departure warning/lane keeping assistance system is an option. It is expected to be fitted to most cars sold so it was included in the assessment and met Euro NCAP's requirements. A camera-based sign recognition system provides information about the relevant speed limit to the driver who can then set the speed limiter appropriately. An autonomous emergency braking system is available that works from low, city-type speeds to the higher speeds typical of open-road driving. The system is not expected to meet fitment requirements so it was not included in the assessment.